arXiv:1206.4416v2 [math.DG] 26 Jan 2013

WARPED PRODUCT SUBMANIFOLDS OF KENMOTSU MANIFOLDS

Sachin Kumar Srivastava

Abstract: In the present paper, we study warped product semi-slant submanifolds of Kenmotsu manifolds.We have obtained results on the existence of warped product semi-slant submanifolds of Kenmotsu manifolds in term of the canonical structure.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 53C40, 53C42, 53B25.

Keywords : Warped product; doubly warped product; slant submanifold; semi-slant submanifold; Kenmotsu manifolds; canonical structure.

1. Introduction

The differential geometry of slant submanifolds has shown an increasing development since B. - Y. Chen defined slant immersion in complex geometry as a natural generalization of both holomorphic immersions and totally real immersions (see [11]).In ([7]), A. Lotta has introduced the notion of slant immersion of a Riemannian manifold into an almost contact metric manifold. In ([2]), the notion of warped product manifolds was introduced by Bishop and O'Neill in 1969 and it was studied by many mathematicians and physicists.

The notion of semi-slant submanifolds of almost Hermitian manifolds was introduced by N. Papaghuic (see [9]). In fact, semi-slant submanifolds in almost Hermitian manifolds are defined on the line of CR-submanifolds. In the setting of almost contact metric manifolds, semi-slant submanifolds are defined and investigated by J.L. Cabrerizo et. al (see [5]).

The study of warped product semi-slant submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds was introduced by B. Sahin (see [10]). Later, K.A. Khan et.al studied warped product semi-slant submanifolds in cosymplectic manifolds and showed that there exist no proper warped product semi-slant submanifolds in the forms $N_T \times_f N_\theta$ and reversing the two factors in cosymplectic manifolds (see [6]).

Recently, M. Atceken proved that the warped product submanifolds of the types $M = N_T \times_f N_\theta$ and $M = N_\theta \times_f N_\perp$of a Kenmotsu manifold $\tilde{M}$do not exist where the manifolds N_θ and N_T (resp.$N_\perp$) are proper slant and φ-invariant (resp.anti-invariant) submanifolds of a Kenmotsu manifold $\tilde{M}$, respectively (see [1]). In this paper, we have obtained some results for the existence of warped product semi-slant submanifolds of $\beta-$ Kenmotsu manifolds.

2. Preliminaries

Let $\tilde{M}$ be a (2n + 1) – dimensional C^∞ manifold endowed with the almost contact metric structure(ϕ, ξ, η, g), where ϕ is a tensor field of type (1, 1), ξ is a vector field, η is a 1 – form and g is a Riemannian metric on $\tilde{M}$, all these tensor fields satisfying ([3])

$$\varphi^2 = I - \eta \otimes \xi, \eta(\xi) = 1, \eta o \varphi = 0, \varphi \xi = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

$$(X,Y)=g\left(\varphi X,\varphi Y\right)+\eta\left(X\right)\,\eta\left(Y\right) \tag{2.2}$$

$$g\left(X,\varphi Y\right)=-g\left(\varphi X,Y\right),g\left(X,\xi\right)=\eta\ \left(X\right) \tag{2.3}$$

for any $X,Y\in T\tilde{M}$. Here $T\tilde{M}$is the standard notation for the tangent bundle of $\tilde{M}$. The two forms Φ denote the fundamental two forms and is given by $g\left(X,\phi Y\right)=\Phi\left(X,Y\right)$. The manifold is said to be contact if $\Phi=d\eta$. If ξ is a killing vector field with respect to g, the contact metric structure is called K - contact structure. It is known that a contact metric manifold is K – contact if and only if $\tilde{\nabla}_X\xi=-\phi X$, where $\tilde{\nabla}$ denotes the Levi – Civita connection on $\tilde{M}$.

The almost contact structure $\tilde{M}$ is said to be normal if $[\phi,\phi]+2d\eta\otimes\xi=0$, where $[\phi,\phi]$ is the Nijenhuis tensor of ϕ. A Sasakian manifold is a normal contact metric manifold. Every Sasakian manifold is K – contact. A three dimensional K – contact manifold is Sasakian. An almost contact metric manifold is Sasakian if and only if

$$\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X\varphi\right)Y=g\left(X,Y\right)\xi-\eta\left(Y\right)X;X,Y\in T\tilde{M} \tag{2.4}$$

Moreover, on a Sasakian manifold

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X\xi=-\varphi X. \tag{2.5}$$

For any $X\in T\tilde{M}$and ξ is the structure vector field. An almost contact metric structure (ϕ,ξ,η,g) on $\tilde{M}$ is called $\beta-$ Kenmotsu manifold if

$$\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X\varphi\right)Y=\beta\left\{g\left(\varphi X,Y\right)\xi-\eta\left(Y\right)\varphi X\right\} \tag{2.6}$$

where β is a smooth function on $\tilde{M}$ and $\tilde{\nabla}$means the covariant differentiation with respect to g (see [8]).

If we put $\beta=1$ in (2.6) then $\tilde{M}$is a Kenmotsu manifold. Let M be a submanifold immersed in a (2n + 1) – dimensional contact metric manifold $\tilde{M}$; we denote by the same symbol g the induced metric on M. TM is the tangent bundle of the manifold M and $T^{\perp}M$ is the set of vector fields normal to M. Then the Gauss and Weingarten formula is given by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_XY=\nabla_XY+h(X,Y) \tag{2.7}$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_XN=-A_NX+\nabla^{\perp}_XY \tag{2.8}$$

For any $X,Y\in TM$ and $N\in T^{\perp}M$, where $\nabla^{\perp}$is the connection in the normal bundle. The second fundamental form h and the shape operator A_N are related by

$$g\left(A_NX,Y\right)=g\left(h(X,Y),N\right). \tag{2.9}$$

For any $X,Y\in TM$ and $N\in T^{\perp}M$, we write

$$\varphi X=TX+NX,\ \left(TX\in TM,NX\in T^{\perp}M\right), \tag{2.10}$$

$$\varphi N=tN+nN,\ \left(tN\in TM,nN\in T^{\perp}M\right)\ . \tag{2.11}$$

The submanifold M is invariant if N is identically zero on the other hand; M is anti – invariant if T is identically zero. From (2.3) and (2.10), we have

$$g\left(X,TY\right)=-g\left(TX,Y\right) \tag{2.12}$$

For any $X,Y\in TM$. The covariant derivatives of the tensor fields T and N are defined as

$$\left(\nabla_X T\right)Y=\nabla_X TY-T\nabla_X Y \tag{2.13}$$

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X N)Y=\nabla^{\perp}_X NY-N\nabla_X Y \tag{2.14}$$

For all $X,Y\in TM$. The canonical structure T and N on a submanifold M are said to be *parallel*if $\nabla T=0$ and $\tilde{\nabla}N=0$, respectively.

We shall always consider ξ to be tangent to M. The submanifold M is said to be *invariant* if N is identically zero, that is, $\phi X\in TM$ for any $X\in TM$.On the other hand M is said to be *anti – invariant* if T is identically zero, that is, $\phi X\in T^{\perp}M$ for any$X\in TM$. For each non – zero X tangent to M, such that X is not proportional to ξ, we denote by $\theta\left(X\right)$, the angle between ϕ and TX. M is said to be *slant* [5] if the angle $\theta\left(X\right)$is constant for all $X\in TM-\{\xi\}$. The angle θ is called *slant angle* or *Wiritinger angle*. Obviously if $\theta=0$, M is invariant and if $\theta=\pi/2$, M is anti – invariant submanifold. If the slant angle of M is different from 0 and $\pi/2$ then it is called *proper slant*.

A characterization of slant submanifolds is given by the following:

Theorem 2.1. ([5]) *Let M be a submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold M, such that* $\xi\in TM$. *Then M is slant if and only if there exists a constant* $\lambda\in[0,1]$ *such that*

$$T^2=\lambda\left(-I+\eta\otimes\xi\right) \tag{2.15}$$

Furthermore, if θ *is slant angle then* $\lambda=\cos^2\theta$.

The following relations are straight forward consequences of equation(2.15):

$$g\left(TX,TY\right)=\cos^2\theta\,\left[g\left(X,Y\right)-\eta\left(X\right)\,\eta\left(Y\right)\right] \tag{2.16}$$

$$g\left(NX,NY\right)=\sin^2\theta\,\left[g\left(X,Y\right)-\eta\left(X\right)\,\eta\left(Y\right)\right] \tag{2.17}$$

for any $X,Y\in TM$. We say M is a semi – slant submanifold of $\tilde{M}$ if there exist an orthogonal direct decomposition of TM as $TM=D_1\oplus D_2\oplus\{\xi\}$, where $D_1$is invariant distribution i.e., $\phi\left(D_1\right)=D_1$ and D_2 is slant with slant angle $\theta\neq 0$. The orthogonal complement of $ND_2$is the normal bundle $T^{\perp}M$, is an invariant subbundle of $T^{\perp}M$ and is denoted by μ. Thus, we have $T^{\perp}M=ND_2\oplus\mu$. Similarly, we say that M is anti – slant submanifold of $\tilde{M}$ if $D_1$is an anti – invariant distribution of M i.e., $\phi D_1\subseteq T^{\perp}M$and D_2 is slant with slant angle $\theta\neq 0$.

3. Warped and doubly product manifolds

Let $(N_1,g_1)$and (N_2,g_2) be two Riemannian manifolds and *f*, a positive differentiable function on N_1. The warped product of $N_1$and N_2 is the Riemannian manifold $N_1\times{}_fN_2=(N_1\times N_2,g)$, where

$$g=g_1+f^2g_2. \tag{3.1}$$

A warped product manifold $N_1 \times {}_f N_2$ is said to be trivial if the warping function f is constant. We recall the following general formula on warped product (see [2]).

$$\nabla_X V = \nabla_V X = (X \ln f)\, V, \tag{3.2}$$

where X is tangent to $N_1$and V is tangent to N_2.
Let $M = N_1 \times {}_f N_2$ be a warped product manifold, this means that N_1 is totally geodesic and N_2 is totally umbilical submanifold of M, respectively. Doubly warped product manifolds were introduced as a generalization of warped product manifolds by B. Unal [12]. A doubly warped product manifold of N_1andN_2, denoted as ${}_{f_2}N_1 \times {}_{f_1}N_2$ is endowed with a metric g defined as

$$g = f_2^2 g_1 + f_1^2 g_2 \tag{3.3}$$

Where f_1 and f_2 are positive differentiable functions on N_1 and N_2 respectively. In this case formula (3.2) is generalized as

$$\nabla_X V = (X \ln f_1)\, V + (V \ln f_2)\, X \tag{3.4}$$

for each, $X \in TN_1$ and $V \in TN_2$ (see [13]). If neither f_1 nor f_2 is constant we have a non trivial doubly warped product $M = N_1 \times {}_f N_2$. Obviously in this case both N_1 and N_2 are totally umbilical submanifolds of M.
For any $X \in TN_1$ and $Z \in TN_2$ then by (3.4), we have

$$\nabla_X Z = \nabla_Z X = (X \ln f_1)\, Z + (Z \ln f_2)\, X.$$

If $\xi \in TN_1$ then above equation gives

$$\nabla_\xi Z = \nabla_Z \xi = (\xi \ln f_1)\, Z + (Z \ln f_2)\, \xi. \tag{3.5}$$

On the other hand, using equation (2.6) and the fact that ξ is tangent to N_1, we have

$$\tilde{\nabla}_Z \xi = \beta Z.$$

Using equation (2.7), we have

$$\nabla_Z \xi + h\,(Z, \xi) = \beta Z.$$

Using equation(3.5) and then comparing the tangential and normal component we obtain

$$(\xi \ln f_1)\, Z + (Z \ln f_2)\, \xi = \beta Z, \tag{3.6}$$

$$h(Z, \xi) = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Taking product with Z in equation (3.6) and using the fact that ξ, Z and TZ are orthogonal vector fields then$(\xi \ln f_1) = 1,\ (Z \ln f_2) = 0$.This shows that f_2 is constant. Similarly, if the structure vector field ξ is tangent to N_2 and for any $X \in TN_1$ we obtain$(\xi \ln f_2) = 1,\ (X \ln f_1) = 0$. Showing that f_1 is constant. This leads to the following theorem:
Theorem 3.1. *There do not exist proper doubly warped product submanifolds* $M = {}_{f_2}N_1 \times {}_{f_1}N_2$ *of a Kenmotsu manifold* $\tilde{M}$ *where* N_1 *and* N_2 *are any Riemannian submanifolds of* $\tilde{M}$.
The following corollary is an immediate consequence of the above theorem:
Corollary 3.1. *There do not exist warped product submanifolds* $M = N_1 \times {}_f N_2$

of a Kenmotsu manifold $\tilde{M}$ *such that* $\xi \in TN_2$ *where* N_1 *and* N_2 *are any Riemannian submanifolds of* $\tilde{M}$*.*

Thus, the only remaining case to study of warped product submanifolds $M = N_1 \times {}_f N_2$ of a Kenmotsu manifold $\tilde{M}$ such that $\xi \in TN_1$. For any $X \in TN_1$ and $Z \in TN_2$, we have

$$\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X \phi\right) = \tilde{\nabla}_X \phi Z - \phi \tilde{\nabla}_X Z.$$

Using equation (2.6)and the fact that $\xi \in TN_1$, left hand side of the above equation is zero by orthogonality of two distributions, then $\phi \tilde{\nabla}_X Z = \tilde{\nabla}_X \phi Z$. By equations (2.7), (2.8), (2.10) and (2.11), we obtain

$$\nabla_X TZ + h(X, TZ) - A_{NZ}X + \nabla_X^{\perp} NZ = T\nabla_X Z + N\nabla_X Z + th(X, Z) + nh(X, Z).$$

Equating the tangential and normal components and using (3.2), we get

$$A_{NZ}X = -th(X, Z) \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\nabla_X^{\perp} NZ = (X \ln f) NZ + nh(X, Z) - h(X, TZ). \tag{3.9}$$

This leads to the following theorem:

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $M = N_1 \times {}_f N_2$ *be warped product submanifolds of a Kenmotsu manifold* $\tilde{M}$ *such that* $\xi \in TN_1$ *where* N_1 *and* N_2 *are any Riemannian submanifolds of* $\tilde{M}$*.Then*

$$\xi \ln f = 1, \tag{3.10}$$

$$A_{NZ}X = -Bh(X, Z), \tag{3.11}$$

$$g(h(X, Y), NZ) = g(h(X, Z), NY), \tag{3.12}$$

$$g(h(X, Z), NW) = g(h(X, W), NZ) \tag{3.13}$$

for any $X, Y \in TN_1$ *and* $Z, W \in TN_2$*.*

4. Warped product semi-slant submanifolds

We have seen that the warped products of the type $N_1 \times {}_f N_2$ of Kenmotsu manifolds do not exist if $\xi \in TN_2$.Thus, in this section we study warped product semi – slant submanifolds $N_1 \times {}_f N_2$ of Kenmotsu manifolds only when $\xi \in TN_1$. If the manifolds N_θ and N_T (resp. $N_\perp$) are slant and invariant (resp. anti – invariant) submanifolds of Kenmotsu manifold $\tilde{M}$,then their warped product semi-slant submanifolds may given by one of the following forms:

$N_T \times {}_f N_\theta$, $N_\perp \times {}_f N_\theta$, $N_\theta \times {}_f N_T$ and $N_\theta \times {}_f N_\perp$.

Let the warped products of type $N_T \times {}_f N_\theta$ then for any $X \in TN_T$ and$Z \in TN_\theta$ we have

$$g\left(\phi \tilde{\nabla}_X Z, \phi Z\right) = g\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X Z, Z\right).$$

On using equation (3.2), we obtain

$$g\left(\phi \tilde{\nabla}_X Z, \phi Z\right) = (X \ln f) \|Z\|^2. \tag{4.1}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X\phi\right)Z=\tilde{\nabla}_X\phi Z-\phi\tilde{\nabla}_X Z,$$

For any $X\in TN_T$ and$Z\in TN_\theta$. Using equation (2.6) and the fact that $\xi\in TN_T$, left hand side of the above equation is zero, then $\tilde{\nabla}_X\phi Z=\phi\tilde{\nabla}_X Z$.Taking the inner product with ϕZ and then using equation (2.10), we get

$$g\left(\phi\tilde{\nabla}_X Z,\phi Z\right)=g\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X\left(TZ+NZ\right),TZ+NZ\right).$$

On applying (2.9), (3.2), Gauss and Weingarten formula we have

$$g(\phi\tilde{\nabla}_X Z,\phi Z)=(X\ln f)g(TZ,TZ)+g((\tilde{\nabla}_X N)Z,NZ)+(X\ln f)g(NZ,NZ).$$

On using (2.16) and (2.17), we get

$$g\left(\phi\tilde{\nabla}_X Z,\phi Z\right)=(X\ln f)\left\|Z\right\|^2+g\left(\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X N\right)Z,NZ\right). \tag{4.2}$$

Using equations (4.1) and (4.2), we get

$$g\left(\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X N\right)Z,NZ\right)=0. \tag{4.3}$$

Since N_θ is proper slant submanifold of $\tilde{M}$, then equation (4.3) implies the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $M=N_T\times{}_fN_\theta$ be warped product semi – slant submanifolds of Kenmotsu manifold $\tilde{M}$ such that $\xi\in TN_T$. Then $\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X N\right)Z$ lies in the invariant normal subbundle for all $X\in TN_T$ and $Z\in TN_\theta$ where N_T and N_θ are invariant and proper slant submanifolds of $\tilde{M}$.*

Let the warped products of type $N_\perp\times{}_fN_\theta$ then for any $Z\in TN_\perp$ and $X\in TN_\theta$ we have

$$\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X\phi\right)Z=\tilde{\nabla}_X\phi Z-\phi\tilde{\nabla}_X Z$$

On using equations (2.7), (2.8), (2.10), (2.11), (2.6) and the fact that $\xi\in TN_\perp$, we obtain

$-\eta(Z)TX-\eta(Z)NX=-A_{NZ}X+\nabla^\perp_X NZ-T\nabla_X Z-N\nabla_X Z-th(X,Z)-nh(X,Z)$. Using the tangential components and using equation (3.2), we get

$$\eta\left(Z\right)TX=A_{NZ}X+\left(Z\ln f\right)TX+th\left(X,Z\right).$$

Taking the product with TX in above equation and using the fact that X and TX are mutually orthogonal vector fields, then

$$\eta\left(Z\right)g\left(TX,TX\right)=g\left(A_{NZ}X,TX\right)+\left(Z\ln f\right)g\left(TX,TX\right)+g\left(th\left(X,Z\right),TX\right).$$

Thus from equations (2.9) and (2.16), we get

$$\left\{\eta\left(Z\right)-\left(Z\ln f\right)\right\}\cos^2\theta\left\|X\right\|^2=g\left(h\left(X,TX\right),NZ\right)-g\left(h\left(X,Z\right),NTX\right). \tag{4.4}$$

As N_θ is proper slant, interchanging X by TX in above equation and taking account of equation (2.15), we deduce that

$$\{\eta(Z)-(Z\ln f)\}\cos^2\theta\|X\|^2=-g(h(X,TX),NZ)+g(h(TX,Z),NX). \tag{4.5}$$

On adding equations (4.4) and (4.5), we obtain
$\{\eta(Z) - (Z \ln f)\} \cos^2\theta \|X\|^2 = -g(h(X, TX), NZ) + g(h(TX, Z), NX)$.
Thus by (3.13), the right hand side of the above equation is zero and we have
$\{\eta(Z) - (Z \ln f)\} \cos^2\theta \left\|X\right\|^2 = 0$.
This leads to the following theorem:
Theorem 4.2. *Let $M = N_\perp \times {}_f N_\theta$ be warped product semi – slant submanifolds of Kenmotsu manifold $\tilde{M}$ such that $\xi \in TN_\perp$. Then*

$$\eta(Z) = (Z \ln f) \tag{4.6}$$

For all $Z \in TN_\perp$ where $N_\perp$ and N_θ are anti-invariant and proper slant submanifolds of $\tilde{M}$, respectively.
Let $M = N_\theta \times {}_f N_T$ be warped product semi – slant submanifolds of a Kenmotsu manifold $\tilde{M}$ such that $\xi \in TN_\theta$. Then for any $X \in TN_T$ and $Z \in TN_\theta$ we have

$$\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X \phi\right) Z = \tilde{\nabla}_X \phi Z - \phi \tilde{\nabla}_X Z$$

On using equations (2.7), (2.8), (2.10), (2.11), (2.6) and the fact that $\xi \in TN_\perp$, we obtain

$$-\eta(Z)\phi X - \eta(Z)\phi X = \nabla_X TZ + h(X, TZ) - A_{NZ}X$$
$$+\nabla_X^\perp NZ - T\nabla_X Z - N\nabla_X Z - th(X, Z) - nh(X, Z).$$

On comparing the tangential and normal parts we have

$$\eta(Z)\phi X = -A_{NZ}X + \nabla_X TZ - T\nabla_X Z - th(X, Z) \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X N\right) Z = nh(X, Z) - h(X, TZ). \tag{4.8}$$

Taking the product with NZ in (4.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X N\right) Z, NZ\right) &= g(nh(X, Z), NZ) - g(h(X, TZ), NZ). \\ &= g(\phi h(X, Z), \phi Z) - g(th(X, Z), TZ) - g(h(X, TZ), \phi Z). \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$g\left(\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X N\right) Z, NZ\right) = -g(th(X, Z), TZ) + g(th(X, TZ), Z). \tag{4.9}$$

As $\theta \neq \pi/2$, then substituting Z by TZ in (4.9) and using (2.15) we obtain

$$g\left(\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X N\right) TZ, NTZ\right) = \cos^2\theta \{-g(th(X, Z), TZ) + g(th(X, TZ), Z)\}.$$

Using equation (4.9), we get

$$g\left(\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X N\right) TZ, NTZ\right) = \cos^2\theta \, g\left(\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X N\right) Z, NZ\right).$$

Hence we can state the following theorem:
Theorem 4.3. *Let* $M = N_\theta \times {}_f N_T$ *be warped product semi – slant submanifolds of Kenmotsu manifold* $\tilde{M}$ *such that* $\xi \in TN_\theta$*. Then*

$$g\left(\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X N\right) Z, NZ\right) = \sec^2\theta\, g\left(\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X N\right) TZ, NTZ\right). \tag{4.10}$$

for all $X \in TN_T$ *and* $Z \in TN_\theta$*where* N_T *and* N_θ*are invariant and proper slant submanifolds of* $\tilde{M}$*,respectively.*
Let $M = N_T \times {}_f N_\perp$be warped product semi – slant submanifolds of a Kenmotsu manifold $\tilde{M}$ such that $\xi \in TN_\theta$. Then for any $X \in TN_T$ and $Z \in TN_\perp$ we have

$$\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X \phi\right) Z = \tilde{\nabla}_X \phi Z - \phi \tilde{\nabla}_X Z$$

On using equation (2.6) and the fact that $\xi \in TN_\perp$, we obtain

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \phi Z = \phi \tilde{\nabla}_X Z.$$

On using equations (2.7), (2.8), (2.10), (2.11), we get

$$-A_{NZ}X + \nabla_X^\perp NZ = T\nabla_X Z + N\nabla_X Z + th(X,Z) + nh(X,Z).$$

From the normal components of the above equation and formula (3.2) gives

$$\nabla_X^\perp NZ = (X \ln f) NZ + nh(X,Z). \tag{4.11}$$

Taking the product in (4.11) with NW_1 for any$W_1 \in TN_\perp$, we get

$$g\left(\nabla_X^\perp NZ, NW_1\right) = (X \ln f)\, g(NZ, NW_1) + g(nh(X,Z), NW_1)$$

or,

$$g\left(\nabla_X^\perp NZ, NW_1\right) = (X \ln f)\, g(\phi Z, \phi W_1) + g(\phi h(X,Z), \phi W_1).$$

Then from equation (2.2) we have

$$g\left(\nabla_X^\perp NZ, NW_1\right) = (X \ln f)\, g(Z, W_1). \tag{4.12}$$

On the other hand for any $X \in TN_T$ and $Z \in TN_\perp$ we have

$$\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X N\right) Z = \nabla_X^\perp NZ - N\nabla_X Z.$$

Taking the product with NW_1, for any $W_1 \in TN_\perp$ and using equation (3.2), we get

$$g\left(\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X N\right) Z, NW_1\right) = g\left(\nabla_X^\perp NZ, NW_1\right) - (X \ln f)\, g(Z, W_1). \tag{4.13}$$

Equations (4.12) and (4.13), follows that

$$g\left(\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X N\right) Z, NW_1\right) = 0, \tag{4.14}$$

For any $X \in TN$ and $Z, W_1 \in TN_\perp$. If $W_2 \in TN_T$ then using the formula (2.14), we get

$$g\left(\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X N\right) Z, \phi W_2\right) = g\left(\nabla_X^\perp NZ, \phi W_2\right) - (X \ln f)\, g(Z, \phi W_2).$$

As N_T is an invariant submanifold then $\phi W_2 \in TN_T$for any$W_2 \in TN_T$, thus using the fact that the product of tangential component with normal is zero, we obtain that

$$g\left(\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X N\right) Z, \phi W_1\right) = 0, \tag{4.15}$$

For any $X, W_2 \in TN_T$ and $Z \in TN_\perp$. Thus equations (4.14) and (4.15) lead to the following theorem:

Theorem 4.4. *Let $M = N_T \times_f N_\perp$ be warped product semi – slant submanifolds of Kenmotsu manifold $\tilde{M}$ such that$\xi \in TN_T$. Then $\left(\tilde{\nabla}_X N\right) Z$ lies in the invariant normal subbundle for all $X \in TN_T$ and $Z \in TN_\perp$where N_T and $N_\perp$ are invariant and anti – invariant submanifolds of $\tilde{M}$, respectively.*

References

[1] M. Atceken, *Warped product semi-slant submanifolds in Kenmotsu manifolds*, Turk J. Math., **33** (2009), 1-8. 1

[2] R.L. Bishop and B. O'Neill, *Manifolds of Negative curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **145** (1969), 1-49. 1, 3

[3] D.E. Blair, *Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry*, Lecture Notes in Math- ematics, Vol. 509. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976. 2

[4] J.L. Cabrerizo, A. Carriazo, L.M. Fernandez and M. Fernandez,*Semi-slant sub- manifolds of a Sasakian manifold*, Geom. Dedicata, **78** (1999), 183-199.

[5] J. L. Cabrerizo, A. Carriazo, L.M. Fernandez and M. Fernandez, *Slant submani- folds in Sasakian manifolds*, Glasgow Math. J., **42** (2000), 125-138. 1, 2

[6] K. A. Khan, V.A. Khan and Sirajuddin, *Warped product submanifolds of cosym- plectic manifolds*, Balkan J. Geom. Appl., **13** (2008), 55-65. 1

[7] A. Lotta, *Slant submanifolds in contact geometry*, Bull. Math. Soc. Roumanie, **39** (1996), 183-198. 1

[8] J. Oubina, *New classes of almost contact metric structures*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **32** (1985), 187-193. 2

[9] N. Papaghiuc, *Semi-slant submanifolds of a Kaehlerian manifold*, An. Stiint. Al.I. Cuza. Univ. Iasi., **40** (1994), 55-61. 1

[10] B. Sahin, *Nonexistence of warped product semi-slant submanifolds of Kaehler manifold*, Geom. Dedicata, **117** (2006), 195-202. 1

[11] B. Y. Chen, *Geometry of slant submanifolds*, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven, 1990. 1

[12] B. Unal, *Doubly warped products*, Diff. Geom. Appl., **15** (2001), 253-263. 3

[13] M. I. Munteanu, *A note on doubly warped contact CR-submanifolds in trans- Sasakian manifolds*, Acta Math. Hungar., **16** (2007), 121-126. 3

Author's address:
Sachin Kumar Srivastava
Department of Mathematics
Central University of Himachal Pradesh
Dharamshala-176215, INDIA.
E-mail address: `sachink.ddumath@gmail.com`